Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 01477 281157Z

11

ACTION DHA-02

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 IO-11 ISO-00 AF-06 ARA-06 EA-07 NEA-10

CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-04 H-02 INR-07 L-03 NSAE-00 NSC-05

PA-01 PRS-01 SP-02 SS-15 USIA-06 SAM-01 EB-07 /109 W 058233

R 281100Z FEB 76 FM USMISSION GENEVA TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8423 INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW USUN NEW YORK 2713

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE GENEVA 1477

EO 11652: N/A

TAGS: SHUM UN UNHRC

SUBJECT: HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION (HRC) - EXCHANGE WITH SOVIET REP ON SOUTHERN AFRICA AND DOUBLE STANDARD FOR HUMAN RIGHTS

- 1. ON FEB 26 SOVIET REP ZORIN DELIVERED LONG AND BITING ATTACK ON US IN COURSE OF A GENERAL STATEMENT ON RELATIONS WITH SOUTH AFRICA. HE ACCUSED US OF BEING AN ACCOMPLICE IN APARTHEID, OF ARMING PROFESSIONAL KILLERS IN SOUTHERN AFRICA AND PRACTICING A DOUBLE STANDARD OF MORALITY IN ITS FOREIGN POLICY.
- 2. US REP GARMENT ANSWERED SOVIET CHARGES IN COURSE OF FEB 27 MEETING. HIS REMARKS FOLLOWED A LENGTHY REBUTTAL OF SOVIET ATTACK ON WESTERN COUNTRIES BY FRG REP. TEXT OF GARMENT'S STATEMENT REPEATED AT END OF THIS MESSAGE.
- 3. SOVIET REP ZORIN MADE BRIEF RIGHT OF REPLY IN WHICH HIS ONLY POINT WAS THAT SOVIET UNION UPHOLDS PROVISIONS OF CSCE FINAL ACT
- 4. TEXT OF STATEMENT FOLLOWS: BEGIN TEXT.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 01477 281157Z

STATEMENT ON UNITED STATES POLICY

IN SOUTHERN AFRICA AND SOVIET CHARGE OF DOUBLE STANDARD IN UNITED STATES POLICY

BY

LEONARD GARMENT
UNITED STATES REPRESENTATIVE
HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION

FEB 27, 1976

MR CHAIRMAN:

I WANT TO STATE QUITE CLEARLY WHAT THE POSITION OF THE UNITED STATES IS ON THE SUBJECT OF COLONIAL REGIMES AND APARTHEID. THE UNITED STATES HAS MAINTAINED AN ARMS EMBARGO AGAINST SOUTH AFRICA SINCE 1963. IT OPPOSES CONTINUED SOUTH AFRICAN CONTROL OF NAMIBIA. WITHOUT RESERVATION WHATSOEVER THE UNITED STATES HAS SPOKEN OUT AGAINST SOUTH AFRICAN APARTHEID. IT SPEAKS OUT AGAINST APARTHEID IN MULTILATERAL MEETINGS, AND IT REPEATS THIS POSITION IN BILATERAL CONTACTS WITH THE SOUTH AFRICAN GOVERNMENT. THE US ENCOURAGES US CORPORATIONS OPERATING IN SOUTH AFRICA TO IMPLEMENT REAL CHANGES IN EMPLOYMENT PRACTICES. IN BRIEF THE US WORKS ACTIVELY TO STIMULATE THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROGRESSIVE RACIAL POLICIES IN SOUTH AFRICA.

YET THERE ARE LIMITS TO THE WILLINGNESS OF THE US
TO SUPPORT ANY POLICY MERELY BECAUSE IT IS DIRECTED AT
APARTHIED. THE RESOLUTION SUBMITTED UNDER THIS ITEM CONTAINS
SO MUCH PROVOCATIVE AND SWEEPING LANGUAGE THAT WE CANNOT SUPPORT
IT. BY ITSELF OPERATIVE PARA 4(D), CALLING FOR A TOTAL
EMBARGO BY THE SECURITY COUNCIL JUSTIFIES A NEGATIVE VOTE.

NOW OUR POLICY IS A STRAIGHTFORWARD ONE. OUR CONCERN FOR HUMAN RIGHTS IN SOUTH AFRICA, AND OUR CONVICTION THAT THE SYSTEM OF APARTHEID CANNOT BE ALLOWED TO ENDURE, AFFECTS IN VERY REAL AND DIRECT WAYS OUR RELATIONSHIPS WITH SOUTH AFRICA. IN FACT IT WOULD NOT BE AN OVERSTATEMENT TO SAY THAT OUR CONCERN WITH HUMAN RIGHTS AFFECTS OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH SOUTH AFRICA MORE THAN OUR CONCERN FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AFFECTS OTHER BILATERAL LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 01477 281157Z

RELATIONSHIPS. SO IF THERE IS A DOUBLE STANDARD IN OUR POLICY--AS THE SOVIET REP ASSERTED YESTERDAY--IT CAN ONLY BE ONE KIND: THAT WE SHOULD HAVE OUR CONCERN FOR HUMAN RIGHTS AFFECT ALL OF OUR BILATERAL RELATIONSHIPS TO THE EXTENT THAT IT AFFECTS OUR RELATIONSHIP WITH SOUTH AFRICA.

NOW TURNING TO THE REP WHO VOICED SUCH SPECIFIC AND VITUPERATIVE CRITICISM OF THE US WE DO INDEED

HAVE AN INTERESTING SITUATION.

THERE ARE COUNTRIES REPRESENTED HERE WHO CAN CHARGE THAT US POLICY TOWARD SOUTH AFRICA IS NOT WHAT IS SHOULD BE IN ONE RESPECT OR ANOTHER. FOR THERE ARE COUNTRIES THAT HAVE IN FACT ADOPTED AND FOLLOWED ABSOLUTE POLICIES OF OPPOSITION NOT ONLY TO APARTHEID BUT ALSO TO THE INFLUENCE OF FOREIGN FORCES IN POLITICS THORUGHOUT SOUTHERN AFRICA. BUT THE SOVIET UNION IS NOT ONE OF THOSE COUNTRIES. IT CAN HARDLY BE SAID TO HAVE ABSTAINED FROM MEDDLING IN POLITICAL DEVELOPMENTS IN SOUTHERN AFRICA.-FOR CERTAINLY ARMING AND TRANSPORTING A MERCENARY FORCE OF 12,000 TROOPS FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF INTERFERING IN AFRICAN AFFAIRS AND KILLING AFRICANS IS NOT A HANDS-OFF POLICY. I AM CONFIDENT THAT IN THE NOT SO LONG RUN THE PEOPLES OF AFRICA WILL SEE THROUGH THE SELF-SERVING AND SELF-RIGHTEOUS OPPORTUNISM OF THE SOVIET UNION AND TAKE ABSOLUTE CONTROL OF THEIR OWN DESTINY.

IT MAY OF COURSE BE DIFFICULT FOR THE SOVIET REP
TO IDENTIFY A DOUBLE STANDARD WHEN HE SEES ONE. HIS ABILITY TO
DO SO MAY BE DISTORTED BY HIS OWN IDEOLOGICALPREFERENCES, OR
EVEN BY UNFAILING ADHERENCE TO SOME DEFINITIONSOF WHAT IS A
DOUBLE STANDARD, WHAT IS SELECTIVE MORALITY, OR WHAT IS INTERFERENCE IN A STATE'S INTERNAL AFFAIRS. BUT WE DO NOT NEED
DEFINITIONS TO RECOGNIZE A DOUBLE STANDARD, SELECTIVE MORALITY,
OR INTERFERENCE IN ANOTHER STATE'S AFFAIRS WHEN WE SEE IT.
PRAGUE -- 1948; BUDAPEST -- 1956; PRAGUE -- 1968. THE SIGNS
OF ITS PRESENCE WERE THEN AS NOW FOREIGN TROOPS RIDING ON TOP
OF SOVIET TANKS.

MR CHAIRMAN, MY DELEGATION WAS SURPRISED BY THE TONE AND CONTENT OF THE ATTACKS THAT THE SOVIET REP MADE YESTERDAY IN CHARGING THE US WITH A DOUBLE STANDARD. LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 GENEVA 01477 281157Z

WHEN HE MADE THESE MALICIOUS CHARGES HE OBVIOUSLY THOUGHT HE WAS IMMUNE FROM BEING ANSWERED, THAT NO ONE WOULD QUESTION HIS REMARKS; HE WAS WRONG. AND QUESTIONS IN THIS COMMISSION DESERVE ANSWERS.

I ASKED PREVIOUSLY WHY THE SOVIET UNION HESITATES TO AFFIRM--HERE IN THE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION--THE HUMAN RIGHTS PROVISIONS FROM THE HELSINKI DECLARATION. WHAT FAULT DOES IT FIND IN THEM?

MUST WE LISTEN TO VOICES OTHER THAN THAT OF THE SOVIET REP FOR THE ANSWER? MUST WE LISTEN TO A NOBEL LAUREATE WHO WAS STRIPPED OF HIS CITIZENSHIP BY THE SOVIET AUTHORITIES AND NOW LIVESIN EXILE? MUST WE LISTEN TO THE VOICE OF LAST YEAR'S WINNER OF THE NOBEL PEACE PRIZE, WHO CON-

TINUES HIS FIGHT AT HOME FOR HUMAN RIGHTS UNDER THE MOST ADVERSE - AND PERVERSE - CONDITIONS? MUST WE LISTEN TO A UKRANIAN SCIENTIST IN PARIS DESCRIBING THE UNSPEAKABLE CONDITIONS OF HIS IMPRISONMENT IN A PSYCHIATRIC PRISON? MUST WE LISTEN FOT THE LESS AUDIBLE BUT NUMBERLESS VOICES, WHO REPEAT ALMOST IN UNISON THE REASONS WHY THE SOVIET REP HAS FOUND THIS SPECIFIC AND SEPARATE REFERENCE TO HUMAN RIGHTS PROVISION SO OBJECTIONABLE?

THESE ARE QUESTIONS THAT DESERVE ANSWERS. WHAT IS WRONG WITH THIS PART OF THE HELSINKI DECLARATION? DOESN'T THE SOVIET UNION SUPPORT THESE HUMAN RIGHTS PROVISIONS? IS THE SOVIET DELEGATION IN THE PROCESS OF RENOUNCING FORMALLY WHAT MANY FEEL THE SOVIET GOVERNMENT HAS BEEN RENOUNCING BY ITS PRACTICES EVER SINCE THE FINAL ACT WAS SIGNED AT HELSINKI? DOES THE SOVIET UNION REFUSE TO ACKNOWLEDGE EVEN THE MODEST TRIBUTE PAID TO HUMAN RIGHTS IN THE FINAL ACT OR IS THEIR MENTION FORBIDDEN UNLESS AUTOMATICALLY AND UNFAIRINGLY COUPLED WITH THE DECLARATION'S CONFIRMATION OF THE TERRITORIAL STATUS QUO IN EUROPE? ALL OF THAT WOULD BE ENLIGHTENING TO THE US, TO THE MEMBERS OF THIS COMMISSION, AND TO PEOPLE EVERYWHERE WHO ARE GENUINELY CONCERNED WITH THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN RIGHTS. DALE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: HUMAN RIGHTS, INTERVENTION, POLITICAL SITUATION, LIBERATION FRONTS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 28 FEB 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: morefirh
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004

Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a Disposition Reason: **Disposition Remarks:**

Document Number: 1976GENEVA01477 Document Source: CORE Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A Film Number: D760076-0271

From: GENEVA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t1976022/aaaaabjt.tel Line Count: 192 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION DHA

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: morefirh

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 15 APR 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a Review History: RELEASED <15 APR 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <07 JUL 2004 by morefirh>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION (HRC) - EXCHANGE WITH SOVIET REP ON SOUTHERN AFRICA AND DOUBLE STANDARD FOR

HUMAN RIGHTS

TAGS: SHUM, UN, UNHRC, (ZORIN)

To: STATE

Type: TE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006